

**REMARKS**


The application stands with claims 2-12, 15, 17-22 where claims 1 and 14 were previously cancelled, claim 16 is currently cancelled and claims 17 and 22 are independent.

Claim 22 stands objected to due to an informality. In response, Applicant amended claim 22 to correct the informality disclosed by the Examiner. Thus, removal of the objection of claim 22 is respectfully requested.

Claim 15 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 95/35174 mainly due to confusing claim format. In response, Applicant amended claim 15 for proper format and to make it clear that claim 15 depends from claim 17. Since claim 15 includes all of the features of claim 17 plus other features, Applicant submits this reference does not disclose all of the features of claims 17 and 15 (as admitted by the Examiner since he allowed claim 17). For this reason, Applicant submits the rejection of claim 15 is overcome and respectfully requests that this rejection be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all pending claims. The Examiner should contact either the undersigned or Blake Johnston, the main attorney of record, if it would expedite prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

  
Joel H Bootzin  
Reg. No. 42,343

**PIPER RUDNICK LLP**  
P.O. Box 64807  
Chicago, IL 60664-0807  
Phone: (312) 368-4000  
Fax: (312) 236-7516  
July 21, 2004